

Message

From: Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) @gdit.com]
Sent: 4/11/2019 4:39:58 PM
To: Baker, Lorie [Baker.Lorie@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Documenting PFAS as a pollutant or contaminant
Attachments: ATT00001.txt

You're welcome!

From: Baker, Lorie <Baker.Lorie@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) csra.com>
Cc: Jeng, Terry <Jeng.Terry@epa.gov>; Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) mindspring.com; Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) csra.com>; Wendel, Jennifer <Wendel.Jennifer@epa.gov>; Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) csra.com>
Subject: RE: Documenting PFAS as a pollutant or contaminant

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Lorie

From: Nonresponsive based on revised scope @gdit.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2019 11:42 AM
To: Baker, Lorie <Baker.Lorie@epa.gov>
Cc: Jeng, Terry <Jeng.Terry@epa.gov>; Nonresponsive based on revised scope mindspring.com; Nonresponsive based on revised scope @gdit.com>; Wendel, Jennifer <Wendel.Jennifer@epa.gov>; Nonresponsive based on revised scope r@gdit.com>
Subject: RE: Documenting PFAS as a pollutant or contaminant

Dear Lorie,

Regarding your question as to whether the Region memo 2 is sufficient to document perfluorinated acids (PFAS) as a pollutant or contaminant for the Blades HRS package, what exactly needs to be included in the HRS package depends upon how PFAS are going to be evaluated.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

GDIT has attached the health effects support docs for PFOS and PFOA, as well as the reference from the [redacted] used to calculate the dose based on PFOA concentrations.

[redacted] Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Please let me know if you have any other questions or would like to arrange a call.

Thanks!

[redacted] Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Environmental Scientist
Federal Civilian – Science and Engineering

[redacted] Nonresponsive based on revised scope

6361 Walker Lane, Suite 300
Alexandria, VA 22310
www.gdit.com

Working at Home Office: Wednesdays and Thursdays (unless otherwise noted).

GENERAL DYNAMICS
Information Technology

From: Baker, Lorie <Baker.Lorie@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 9, 2019 2:41 PM
To: [redacted] Nonresponsive based on revised scope <@csra.com>
Cc: Jeng, Terry <Jeng.Terry@epa.gov>
Subject: Documenting PFAS as a pollutant or contaminant

[redacted] Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Can we use the attached memo from Region 2 to document PFAS being a pollutant or contaminant for our Blades HRS package? I think EPA's schedule is to have PFOA and PFOS classified as hazardous substances by October of this year, but that falls a little beyond our Sept. update.

Thanks!

Lorie

This electronic message transmission contains information from GDIT that may be attorney-client privileged, proprietary or confidential. The information in this message is intended only for use by the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you have received this message in error, please contact me immediately and be aware that any use, disclosure, copying or distribution of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. NOTE: Regardless of content, this email shall not operate to bind GDIT to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of email for such purpose.